

	AREA	OBJECTIONS	SUGGESTIONS
1	Bakers Close	Why this land and not the designated land at Gypsy Lane, Staplegrove? Land still in agricultural use so valuable.	Roundabout or traffic lights at Waterfield Drive/Silk Mills junction. BH Road to be widened and footway throughout. No one way exit at BH Road and Wellington Road junction.
2	Robin Close	Will be objecting at the Committee meeting but no reasons given.	If any S106 money left over should be used for affordable housing.
3	Wellington New Road	No infrastructure to support 220 new houses. Narrow roads, parking difficulties already.	Necessary infrastructure to be provided. School support. Doctor's surgery?
4	Shutewater Close	Too many houses for the area. Roads already too busy and no parking. Already being used as a rat run. Village will be over-developed and lose its identity.	
5	Bakers Close	Existing roads will not cope with construction traffic. Pavement opposite URC already being damaged by large vehicles including tractors. Large plant will damage it further. Double yellow lines will have to be put all along BH Road and Waterfield Drive similar to those in Henley Road area.	Traffic lights at Waterfield Drive/Silk Mills junction. Yellow box junction markers also needed there. Install proper 20 mph speed control measures as in Parkfield Road which work instead of what we've got now.
6	Shutewater Hill	Too many houses, will cause major congestion and delay through village with high numbers of young and old people. Proposed development at Galmington of 200 houses will contribute to traffic chaos and gridlock.	Continuous footpath along BH Road and down Shutewater Hill. A flood-lit tennis court and football pitch. A coffee shop facility with attached community centre to provide a regular meeting place for activities.

			A park and ride facility on Wellington Road to reduce congestion. More regular bus service from BH to Taunton.
7	Bakers Close	More traffic, deterioration in road condition.	Continuous footway. Condition of BH road to be maintained to proper standard.
8	Rumwell Mount	Too many houses proposed for the area and access completely inadequate. Roads will be clogged particularly at peak times. Another set of traffic lights will only make things worse.	Any funding to be paid in advance – don't put yourselves in Cotford St Luke position (?).
9	Wellington New Road		Would like to see an artists' impression. A communal facility such as a heated swimming pool or other sports facility.
10	Mountway Road		Village Hall. Widen BH road along the whole length of the field.
11	Daws Mead	Concerns re village becoming more of a rat run.	Affordable houses. Footpath along whole length of BH Road. Effective speed limits and better control of parking.
12	Bakers Close	Increased traffic on Waterfield Drive and increased congestion at the Silk Mills/Wellington Rd roundabout especially at peak times.	Village Hall. Footpath along BH Road.
13	Bishop's Hull Road	Inappropriate development for a Conservation Area. Too much traffic. More congestion and pollution.	Double glazing for those affected by extra traffic. Residents parking scheme Compensation for any loss of property value Extra policing of the area especially around the playing fields at weekends.
14	Bakers Close	Will alter nature of BH forever and allow Taunton to 'take over'. More cars, will mean major road works. Can village school cope with extra children.	Need more pleasant open spaces. Access onto Wellington Road for cars. Village should not suffer inconvenience due to the new development.

15	Bishops Hull Road	Development should not be allowed here until other sites (e.g. Monkton Heathfield) have been used.	Maintain present footpath. No access onto BH Road by their house as would be too dangerous. Maintain their wall and 100 year old yew hedge. Make sure no building is erected within 10 metres of the existing hedge which must be preserved. Buildings must be in character with existing houses. Adequate infrastructure must be in place.
16	Bishops Hull Road	Traffic generated. Land not designated.	Larger houses should be nearer BH Road and smaller units on the lower part of the development (doesn't say why). Hedge should be retained and more trees planted to lessen the impact of the development.
17	Smithy	Existing development sites must be developed before non-designated ones are considered.	A bus service every 15 minutes into town centre. A guarantee that there will no further large scale residential or business development in the village.
18	Mountway Road	Traffic generated. Land not designated.	
19	Bakers Close	Total environmental disaster. Increased traffic, use of BH Road as a rat run. All facilities already overstretched.	Two or more entrances to site. Number of houses reduced to 80. No traffic lights on Wellington Road. Entrance and exit to be maintained. Footpath along whole length of BH Road.
20	Smithy	No development at all – village too small. Where will the water go when there is heavy rainfall?	Fewer houses. Doctor's surgery plus pharmacy.
21	Lowlands Terrace	Traffic generated. Land not designated	Fewer houses. Footpath along whole length of BH Road. May help PO and shop to remain open.
22	Malthouse Court	Overdevelopment of a small village. Increased	Pedestrian crossings at strategic places, but particularly

		traffic will endanger the elderly and children using the village and people using the shops and PO. Such a development will further convert the nature of the village into an urban suburb of Taunton.	by the PO and butchers.
23	Rumwell	Increased traffic generated. Land not designated. Use other sites first.	Keep two way access from Wellington Road into BH Road. No traffic lights at the crossroads.
24	Stonegallows	Development not in keeping with existing buildings. BH Road a natural boundary for the village – would mean housing overflows into the countryside. Development would set a precedent for more development on other fields. Other developments around Taunton will provide sufficient housing (?).	Any conditions requested will be ‘pie in the sky’ – money will not be available and should not be relied upon.
25	Gillards	Too much extra traffic generated.	Road access from Wellington Road, not via BH Road.
26	Daws Mead	Density too high for village – unlike anything else. Traffic too much for junction at end of BH Road.	
27	Badgers Close		Entry to site should be south through Stonegallows pub car park. Hedge should be removed and pavement put in place. Corner to north side of junction of BH Road with Wellington Road should be improved. Pavement full length of BH Road. Houses should be ‘factory built’ and ecologically sound.

			<p>Medical centre? School needs to be enlarged? Drainage system need to be enlarged?</p>
28	Mountway Road	<p>Development inappropriate due to lack of adequate infrastructure. Increased road congestion would be intolerable.</p>	<p>Development should be limited to a max of 100 houses.</p>
29	Rumwell	<p>Access roads need thorough consideration.</p>	<p>Improve roads in surrounding area. Improve water supply (mains pressure low).</p>
30	Badgers Close	<p>Increased traffic.</p>	<p>Footpath along whole length of BH Road. Improve frequency of bus service. Might help PO survive?</p>
31	Morgan's Rise	<p>Access to BH already difficult – increased traffic will make it worse.</p>	<p>Mini- roundabout at junction of BH Road and Wellington Road, allowing entry and exit, rather than traffic lights which often bring traffic to a standstill unnecessarily.</p>
32	Mountway Road	<p>Far too many houses for the village to absorb in one go. Assume most households have 2 cars – will increase congestion. Already lights at BH Hill /Silk mills junction only allow 4 cars out on one green light.</p>	<p>If extra houses built would expect to see rather high parish rate reduced. A large contribution towards village facilities. Mountway Road residents should be allowed to use the bus gate at all times to assist extra traffic on Silk Mills Road.</p>
33	Bishops Hull Road	<p>Land not designated – why is it even being considered? Increased traffic and no way can access to BH Road be made safer. Destruction of hedgerows and habitat. Will affect appearance of village.</p>	<p>All hedgerows to be protected, pond to be protected (?). Access to be found elsewhere. Assurances to be given that this is the maximum development and it will not be allowed to spread over the ridge and ruin the integrity of the village itself.</p>
34	Waterfield Close	<p>Not designated land. Infrastructure won't cope.</p>	<p>Traffic to be directed onto Wellington Road via Stonegallows - Waterfield Drive can't cope with any</p>

			<p>more traffic. Footpath whole way to village with 'dog bins'. New village hall. Development to be in keeping with Waterfield Drive i.e. not too dense, similar style, energy efficient houses.</p>
35	?	Appalling intrusion into green belt.	<p>Footpaths and junctions not enough – go for doctors' surgery or new village hall. Don't start with weak demands – aim high and make the developers build something for the village. They will make millions.</p>
36	BH Road	<p>Flooding? Disappearance of wildlife in the fields. Increased traffic - very dangerous road.</p>	?
37	Netherclay	<p>Traffic will increase and existing roads cannot cope. Do need affordable housing, and would feel differently about the development if it offered more benefits to the village.</p>	<p>Affordable housing a priority. Community centre of some kind. Restrictions on height of buildings to lessen impact. Additional thought given to pedestrians at difficult crossing places in the village.</p>
38	Gillards	<p>BH turning into a concrete jungle – too many people, traffic worse, no doctors surgery, no chemist, small village school, insufficient busses.</p>	<p>A proper community hall with all facilities, a doctors' surgery, a chemist and a decent bus service.</p>
39	Heron Drive	<p>Increased traffic, village can't cope. Fields concreted over. Can village school cope?</p>	
40	BH Road	<p>Not in local plan, wildlife, will change nature of village. Traffic, parking, BH Road dangerous now – would be worse.</p>	<p>General clean up of ditches and hedges, path from Wellington Road right through village. Large stretches of existing path are uneven and dangerous for elderly residents.</p>
41	Netherclay	<p>Unlikely to benefit local shops, particularly the butchers' if there is no access to be allowed from the Wellington Road. Pub might benefit – is that enough reason?</p>	<p>Traffic and road safety, rat runs, parking, congestion, closure of Netherclay.</p>

42	Great Mead	Unacceptable increase in motor vehicles.	New Church/community hall.
43	BH Road	Would not fit in with the visibility or scenery. Would not be ascetically pleasing. Wildlife issues. Access is a major problem, and lack of infrastructure. TDBC has enough land designated for housing already so why use this land now? Told different numbers of houses (ranging from 196 – 220) so know that Persimmon will increase the very high density of the site and make it unacceptable.	
44	BH Road	Open countryside, land not adopted, not in Local Plan. Other developments in Taunton will provide adequate. Visual impact – the ridge should be protected. Extra traffic generated and inadequacy of roads.	Community hall to be sited between school and pavilion. Subsidised bus travel for residents Improved traffic calming measures – the humps we have are too shallow and do not act as a disincentive to either speeding or to rat running.
45	Daws Mead	Severe negative impact on environment and roads.	Access to site should be from Wellington Road Infrastructure for expected development of the whole area to west must be installed ahead of the development. The impact of noise and atmospheric pollution on Waterfield Drive must be fully assessed before consent
46	Mountway Road	Overdevelopment for rural area. Too high a density	Doctors' surgery within the development. Park & Ride to operate from there at, say, hourly intervals More public transport, and direct connection to Staplegrove Road/ Bindon Road & Station
47	Jarmyns	(as Parish Council)	Widening of Bishops Hull Road from village to Wellington Road

			Roadside footway along B H Road Traffic lights at the junction of Waterfield Drive and Silk Mills Road.
48	Shutewater Hill	Loss of character of village Traffic - if not a problem then why 20mph limit already?.	-
49	Meadowside Close	Village incapable of coping with any more traffic, Silk Mills Road already overloaded. No need for more houses: existing developments adequate eg Norton Fitzwarren. Schools will be inadequate to cope with all these.	Should not set conditions - would be giving in.
50	Bishops Hull Road	Question need for more houses. Road will not take the extra traffic. Main ring road round Taunton needs to be sorted.	Some bungalows needed. Shops? New ring road round the village.
51	Netherclay	Traffic is already a problem - can't cope with more. Poor experience of 20mph limit and motorists' attitude to it. Inadequate pavements through the village - especially for wheelchairs and pushchairs - because of narrowness and camber	If we have to have houses, a limit of 50. Pedestrian access only to the village, vehicular direct to A38. Many more speed bumps through 20mph zone. Residents parking in suitable places. Three car parks - near the community woodland, between the school and the vicarage, at the entrance to the new estate. Footways: (a) full length of Bishop's Hull Road (b) widened from school to Old Inn to enable a child's cycle/wheelchair way with a mobility scooter lane, protected by bollards at corners.
52	Gillards	Too much traffic already so would get worse. Will spoil the countryside and the view from our window.	Do not want consent to be given – not the right area. There are other more suitable areas somewhere else.

